FILED IN CLERKS OFFICE

2001 MAY 19 P 12: 59

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTE OR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS ENTERTAINMENT I, LLC d/b/a CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, CIVIL ACTION NO. Plaintiff 04-40082 JING v. JEAN BANKS, Defendant MAY 18, 2004

### **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, Charter Communications Entertainment I, LLC d/b/a Charter Communications ("Charter"), by this Complaint seeks injunctive relief and damages under provisions of the Federal Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984 and the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, 47 U.S.C. § 553 and the Satellite Home Viewer Act of 1988, 47 U.S.C. § 605, for the unauthorized interception or reception, or the assistance in the unauthorized interception or reception, of cable services offered over Plaintiff's cable system. As set forth in this Complaint, the Defendant ordered and obtained a device expressly designed, manufactured and intended for the surreptitious and unauthorized

reception of Charter's cable services.

RECEIPT # 4/01 AMOUNT & SUMMONS ISSUED LOCAL RULE 4.1. WAIVER FORM MCF ISSUED

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This action arises under the Federal Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984 and the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, 47 U.S.C. § 553, and the Satellite Home Viewer Act of 1988, 47 U.S.C. § 605.
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 in that this action arises under the laws of the United States.
- 3. Venue is proper in the District of Massachusetts pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the Defendant resides in the District and the claims arose here.

#### APPLICABLE LAW

- 4. The interception or reception, or any assistance in the interception or reception, of community antenna television ("CATV") or cable service, unless specifically authorized to do so by a cable operator or as is otherwise specifically authorized by law, is prohibited under 47 U.S.C. § 553(a).
- 5. The unauthorized interception of cable service and the sale and/or installation of any device or equipment by persons knowing or having reason to know that the device or equipment is used primarily for the assistance in the unauthorized decryption of satellite cable programming is prohibited under 47 U.S.C. § 605 (a).

#### **PARTIES**

6. Plaintiff, Charter Communications Entertainment I, LLC d/b/a Charter Communications ("Charter"), is a Delaware limited liability company with a principal place of business at 95 Higgins Street, Worcester, Massachusetts 01606.

- 7. Charter is a cable operator, within the meaning of 47 U.S.C. § 522(5) and § 553(a), and provides CATV or cable service, within the meaning of 47 U.S.C. § 522(6), to its customers who are authorized to receive the particular service level or service tier and any other video programming which a customer orders from the Plaintiff and agrees to pay the applicable rates therefore.
- 8. Defendant Jean Banks resides at 23 Main Street, South Grafton,
  Massachusetts which is in Charter's service area. At all times relative to this Complaint,
  only a particular level of cable service was requested from and authorized by Charter at
  Defendant's South Grafton address.

# COUNT I - VIOLATIONS OF 47 U.S.C. § 553

- 9. Charter offers cable services to residents of all of its service areas for which subscribers pay a monthly fee depending upon the amount of cable television programming and services selected and purchased by the subscriber. Each subscriber who pays monthly fees to Charter is entitled to receive that level of services and programming that is purchased from Charter.
- 10. Charter's signals are (a) private communications not intended for public or other use without authorization, (b) communications services offered over a cable system, and (c) satellite cable programming.
- 11. Charter has offered and continues to offer premium programming services, such as Home Box Office, Cinemax and Showtime, and certain Pay-Per-View channels for movies and events.

Case 4:04-cv-40082-FDS

- 12. Charter's signals for premium programming services and for Pay-Per-View services are electronically coded or scrambled so that they must be decoded by electronic decoding equipment in order for the signals to be viewed clearly on a television receiver or monitor.
- 13. To decode these signals, Charter provides subscribers of such services with electronic decoding equipment referred to as converters.
- Charter's converters are programmed from a central location of Charter to 14. decode the signals and thereby enable the subscriber to view that level of cable service which she has purchased. Charter programs each of its converters specifically to permit the subscriber to view only that level of cable service and programming which she has purchased.
- "Pay-Per-View" is a service made available to Charter's residential 15. subscribers.
- 16. The Pay-Per-View service requires the subscriber to have an addressable converter box connected to his television receiver or monitor.
- To order a Pay-Per-View movie or event, the subscriber either telephones 17. Charter and requests to view the specific Pay-Per-View movie or event or orders said movie or event by utilizing the subscriber's remote control device. Charter then programs the addressable converter box to descramble that Pay-Per-View movie or event, enabling the subscriber to receive a non-scrambled signal during the time of the broadcast.
- The price of Pay-Per-View movies or events varies, but presently ranges 18. from \$3.95 for certain movies to approximately \$49.95 for certain sporting or other

special events. Charter subscribers are billed monthly for Pay-Per-View movies and events ordered during the previous month.

- Unauthorized decoders or descramblers are devices that have been 19. designed or modified to defeat the scrambling or addressable security functions of Charter's cable system that are designed to ensure that only authorized customers of certain levels or tiers of services, or of premium or Pay-Per-View programming, receive such services (the "scrambling technology").
- Pursuant to business records seized or produced in AT& T Broadband v. 20. Modern Electronics, Inc., 8:02-00430 (D. Neb. Sept. 17, 2002) ("AT&T Broadband"), Charter obtained the business records of Modern Electronics, Inc. and its affiliates ("Modern Electronics"). Included in the business records seized were records of the sales of decoders, descramblers and various devices used to effect the unauthorized reception of satellite communications services and cable programming by Modern Electronics ("cable theft devices").
- The business records seized or produced in AT&T Broadband indicated 21. that the Defendant ordered and purchased from Modern Electronics on or about January 10, 2001 a cable theft device for use by the Defendant or another for the purpose of unauthorized reception of communications services offered over Charter's and/or other cable systems.
- 22. Upon information and belief, the Defendant ordered, purchased, received and possesses, possessed, used or assisted other persons in the use of a cable theft device from Modern Electronics in order to effect the unauthorized reception and interception of Charter's cable services and this constitutes one violation of 47 U.S.C. § 553(a).

- 23. The Defendant willfully and intentionally violated 47 U.S.C. § 553(a).
- 24. Charter is "aggrieved" within the meaning of 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(1).
- 25. Charter is aggrieved by the Defendant's conduct because the device purchased by the Defendant is designed and intended to compromise and defeat the scrambling technology of cable systems such as the one operated by Charter, in violation of Charter's rights and of federal statutes.
- 26. As a direct, proximate, and foreseeable result of the actions of the Defendant, Charter has sustained and continues to sustain damages and incur attorneys' fees and costs.
- 27. By reason of the Defendant's violation of 47 U.S.C. § 553(a), Charter is entitled to statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(3)(A)(ii).
- 28. By reason of the Defendant's violation of 47 U.S.C. § 553(a), Charter is entitled to the recovery of full costs, including reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(2)(C).

# COUNT II—VIOLATIONS OF 47 U.S.C. § 605

- 1-28. Charter incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 28, above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 29. By virtue of her conduct the Defendant effected the unauthorized interception and reception of Charter's satellite cable programming and communications services over its cable systems by receiving, or assisting in the reception of certain cable services without Charter's authorization and her conduct violates 47 U.S.C. § 605(a).
  - 30. The Defendant willfully and intentionally violated 47 U.S.C. § 605(a).
  - 31. Charter is "aggrieved" within the meaning of 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(A).

- 32. As a direct, proximate, and foreseeable result of the actions of the Defendant, Charter has sustained, and continues to sustain, damages and incur attorneys' fees and costs.
- 33. By reason of the Defendant's violation of 47 U.S.C. 605(a), Charter is entitled to damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(C)(i)(II).
- 34. By reason of the Defendant's violation of 47 U.S.C. 605(a), Charter is entitled to recovery of full costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(B)(iii).

# COUNT III—FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS ACT INJUNCTIONS

- 1-34. Charter incorporates by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1-34 above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 35. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §§ 553(c)(2)(A) and 605 (e)(3)(B)(i), Charter is entitled to a court order restraining the Defendant from engaging in any further activity, similar to that described above, which activity violates 47 U.S.C. §§ 553(a) and 605(a).

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Charter requests the Court to enter judgment against the Defendant as follows:

- 1. For money damages in favor of Charter against the Defendant in the total amount of \$20,000 in statutory damages as follows:
- a. pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §§ 553(c)(3)(A)(ii) and 553(c)(3)(B), \$10,000.00 for a violation of 47 U.S.C. § 553(a) for the device purchased and used and/or

then transferred or distributed to others by Defendant for assistance in the unauthorized use of communications services; and

- b. pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §§ 605(e)(3)(C)(i)(II) \$10,000.00 for violation of 47 U.S.C. § 605(a) for the device purchased and used and/or then transferred or distributed to others by Defendant to effect the unauthorized reception and interception of cable services; and
- Ordering that the Defendant, her family, servants, agents, employees, 2. successors and assigns and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, are permanently enjoined and restrained from engaging in, aiding, abetting or otherwise promoting or supporting interception or reception of the cable television programming, service or signal of Charter or its parent companies or entity that is an affiliated company, partnership, or subsidiary of Charter or its parent companies (the "Charter Entities"), including, without limitation, the following: permanently enjoining and restraining Defendant from connecting, attaching, splicing into, tampering with or in any way using cable wires of Charter or the Charter Entities for purposes of obtaining any of the programming and services of Charter or the Charter Entities without Charter's express permission and authorization; permanently enjoining and restraining Defendant from manufacturing, ordering, purchasing, using, selling, distributing, installing, owning or possessing any equipment, components or parts used to make any such equipment capable of unscrambling, intercepting, receiving, transmitting, retransmitting, decoding or in any way making available all or part of the programming and services of Charter or the Charter Entities without Charter's authorization; permanently enjoining and restraining

the Defendant from attaching or connecting any such equipment to any property of Charter or the Charter Entities without Charter's authorization; permanently enjoining and restraining the Defendant from any further tampering with or making any connection or any disconnection or manipulating, in any manner, for any purpose, cable systems owned, operated, or managed by Charter or the Charter Entities without Charter's express permission and authorization, in accordance with 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(2)(A) and 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(B)(i); and

- 3. Ordering that the Defendant, her family, servants, agents, employees, successors and assigns and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, surrender to Charter or its duly authorized agent any and all devices or equipment, components or parts capable of unscrambling, intercepting, receiving, transmitting, retransmitting, decoding or in any way making available all or part of the programming and services of Charter or the Charter Entities without Charter's express permission and authorization and any further evidence, such as Defendant's records, including, but not limited to, customer lists, sales receipts, invoices and other documents of the Defendant's purchase, use, distribution and sale of any such equipment at her home or her place of employment in accordance with 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(2)(A) and 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(B)(i); and
- 4. Awarding Charter its attorney's fees and costs in prosecuting this action, in accordance with 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(2)(C) and 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(B)(iii); and

Granting such other relief as the Court determines is just and proper. 5.

Dated at Boston, Massachusetts this 18th day of May, 2004.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS ENTERTAINMENT I, LLC d/b/a CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS,

By its Attorneys,

Burton B. Cohen, BBO#656190

Christopher L. Brown, BBO#642688

Murtha Cullina LLP 99 High Street, 20th Floor

Boston, MA 02110 (617) 457-4000

Dated: May 18

**SJS 44** (Rev. 3/99)

## CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
Charter Co d/b/a Charter	I, LLC	DEFENDANTS Jean Banks							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Worcester (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			<del></del>	County of Residence of First Listed (IN U.S. PLAINT) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION O		LAINTIFF CAS	Worcester FF CASES ONLY)		
<del></del>				LAN	D INVOLVED.	ATION CASES,	USE THE LOCATI	ON OF TH	łE
Christophe Murtha Cul 99 High St	lina LLP reet, 20th Flo .02110 (617)	sq., BBO#64268 oor 457-4000		Attorneys (If I					
AN DIEST OF JUKES	DICTION (Place an "	'X" in One Box Only)	III. CITI	ZENSHIP OF versity Cases Only)	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in Or	ic Box for	Plaintiff
		1	(- 5. 24.	orotty cuses only)	DEF		and One Box fo Principal Place	or De fenda	ant) DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	tizenship of Parties		of Another State [	··································		and Principal Place  5		□ 5	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in	One Poy Only	Citizen o	or Subject of a [	3 (3 )	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
CONTRACT		ORTS	FORE	TURE/PENALTY	7				
	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product	PERSONAL INJURY  362 Personal Injury— Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury— Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERT  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITION  PRISONER PETITION  510 Motions to Va cate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	Y   610 A   620 G   625 E   630 E   63	Agriculture  Other Food & Drug  Orug Related S eizure  of Property 21 USC  iduor Laws  i.R. & Truck  cirime Regs.  becupational  Safety/Health  other  LABOR  air Labor Sandards  ct  abor/M gmt. Relations  abor/M gmt. Reporting  Disclosure Act  airlway Labor Act  ther Labor Laigation	422 Appeal   423 Withdra   28 USC   PROPER   820 Copyris   830 Patent   840 Trad em:   SOCIAL S   65 RS 1 (405)   864 SSID Ti   865 RS 1 (405)   865 RS 1 (405)	FY RIGHTS this ark SECURITY 95th ung (923) DIW W (405 (g)) the XVI F(g)) TAXSUITS S. Plaintiff la nt) rd Party	OTHER S  400 State Rear 410 Antitust 430 Banks and 450 Comment 470 Racke teer Corrupt O 310 Selective 3 850 Securities/ Exchange 12 USC 34 891 Agricultura 892 Economic 893 Environme 893 Environme 893 Environme 900 Appe Determines togu Justice 950 Constitution State State 890 Other State	Banking  Proceedings  Banking  Procedure  Influence  Influence  Influence  Commodit  Commodit  Influence  Infl	ent es/etc. d and ns ties/ ion Act irs ct
■ 1 Original □ 2 Re	Re Cou.t  (Cite the U.S. Civil Sau	Remanded from	Reinstated Reopened	or   5 another (specify	rred from district ) $\Box$	6 Multidistric Litigation	Dis Judi ct □ 7 Mag	peal to trict ge from gistrate gment	
47 U.S.C. Secta relief VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASE	553 and Sect U CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.CP	. 605, unauth		reception	00 снес		demanded in cor		tive 
IF ANY		JUDG E SIGNATURE OF A POOL	EX OF	ÖRD	DOCKET N	UMBER			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	004 1001n	- Car	es						
		APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUDG	E		

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS - 10 0 82

1.	LLC v.	SE (NAME OF FIRST PAR Jean Banks	TY ON EACH	SIDE ONLY) Char	ter Co	munica	tion:	s Entertain	ment I,				
2.	CATEGORY	N WHICH THE CASE BEL	ONGS BASEE	UPON THE NUMBE	RED NATI	JRE OF SI	JIT COE	DE LISTED ON T	fE CIVIL				
	COVER SHEE	T. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1)).											
	i.	160, 410, 470, R.23,	REGARDLES	S OF NATURE OF SU	ИТ.								
	X B.		195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730, 740, 790, 791, 820°, 830°, 840°, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.						*Also complete AO 120 or AO 121 for patent, trademark or copyright cases				
	&III.			230, 240, 245, 290, 31 360, 362, 365, 370, 37									
	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 4 690, 810, 861-865, 8	60, 510, 530, ( 70, 871, 875, 9	519, 620, 630, 640, 65 100.	0, 660,								
	v.	150, 152, 153.											
	HAS BEEN FI	IMBER, IF ANY, OF RELA LED IN THIS DISTRICT PL	EASE INDICA	TE THE TITLE AND I	(UMBER (	OF THE FI	RST FIL	ED CASE IN THI	S COURT.				
4.	HAS A PRIOR COURT?	ACTION BETWEEN THE	SAME PARTIE	ES AND BASED ON T	HE SAME	CLAIM EV	ÆR BE	EN FILED IN THI:	3				
					YES		NO						
5.	DOES THE CO	OMPLAINT IN THIS CASE (	QUESTION TH	E CONSTITUTIONAL	ITY OF A	N ACT OF	CONGR	RESS AFFECTING	G THE				
	IF SO, IS THE	U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, A	AGENT OR EM	MPLOYEE OF THE U.	YES S. A PARI	☐ <b>Y</b> ?	МО	<b>X</b> ]					
					YES		NO						
5. 1	IS THIS CASE 28 USC §2284	REQUIRED TO BE HEAR	D AND DETER	RMINED BY A DISTRI	CT COUR	T OF THRE	EE JUD	GES PURSUANT	TO TITLE				
					YES		NO	X					
7.	COMMONWE	HE PARTIES IN THIS ACT ALTH OF MASSACHUSET DN? - (SEE LOCAL RULE	TS ("GOVERN	ING GOVERNMENTA IMENTAL AGENCIES	L AGENC	IES OF TH	IE UNIT	ED STATES AND HUSETTS RESID	THE E IN THE				
					YES	X	NO						
	A.	IF YES, IN WHICH D	VISION DO A	LL OF THE NON-GOV	/ERNMEN	TAL PART	IES RE	SIDE?					
		EASTERN DIVISION		CENTRAL DIVISI	он 🗵		WEST	TERN DIVISION					
	8.	IF NO, IN WHICH DIN GOVERNMENTAL A	VISION DO TH GENCIES, RE	E MAJORITY OF THE SIDING IN MASSACI	PLAINTII HUSETTS	FFS OR TH RESIDE?	HE ONL	Y PARTIES, EXC	LUDING				
		EASTERN DIVISION		CENTRAL DIVIS	он 🗆		WES	TERN DIVISION					
PL	EASE TYPE O	•			•	,							
ΑT	TORNEY'S NAI	ME Christoph	er L. Bro	own, Esquire,	Murtha	Cullin	na LL	P					
AD	ORESS	99 High		20th Floor, Bo	ston,	MA 021	10	·					
TE	LEPHONE NO.	(617) 45	7-4000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						